REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-17, 19-25, 27-40, 42-48 and 71 are pending for further examination.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for suggesting that the rejections put forth in the Office action of January 13, 2006 can be overcome by limiting the claims to "a coin-like token" (Office action, pg. 5, par. 15). Applicants have amended claims 1 and 25 to incorporate this feature. At least for this reason, claims 1 and 25 should be in condition for allowance. Claims 3-17, 19-24, 27-40, 42-48 and 71 depend from claims 1 and 25 and should be allowed for at least the same reason as claims 1 and 25.

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

Applicant: Craig Lewis et al.

Serial No.: 09/767,792 Filed : January 23, 2001 Page : 15 of 15

Enclosed is a \$1020.00 check for the Petition for Extension of Time fee. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 07703-327001 / WCR0117

Deseland

Date:

Samuel Borodach Reg. No. 38,388

Fish & Richardson P.C. Citigroup Center 52nd Floor 153 East 53rd Street

New York, New York 10022-4611

Telephone: (212) 765-5070 Facsimile: (212) 258-2291

30264479.doc